Potential clinical complications of Orise[™] gel use, a new submucosal lifting agent: experience from a tertiary care center and review of the literature

Yasar Colak^{a,c}, Badar Hasan^a, Kanwarpreet Tandon^a, Sikandar Khan^a, Vaibhav Wadhwa^a, Pablo A. Bejarano^b, Tolga Erim^a

Cleveland Clinic Florida, Weston, FL, USA; Istanbul Medeniyet University, Istanbul, Turkey

Background Endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR) involves forming a fluid cushion in the submucosal area with a lifting agent, followed by superficial resection. OriseTM gel is one of the commonly used lifting agents for EMR. We present a case series and literature review that analyzes the characteristic histopathological findings and clinical implications observed where OriseTM gel was used before EMR.

Methods Colon resection specimens and prior EMR specimens where Orise[™] gel was used were reviewed for patients undergoing EMR between January 2018 and December 2020. The literature review included relevant studies from the Medline and Cochrane databases from January 2018 to December 2020.

Results A total of 12 colon polyp EMRs using Orise gel were performed during the study period. Seven patients (58.34%) underwent surgical resection. Histological examination revealed that, after the EMR procedure, the OriseTM gel material changed its morphological characteristics over time from a basophilic (bluish) non-inflamed pattern to an eosinophilic (pink) type pattern, eliciting a foreign body reaction. The endoscopic appearance and examination of the excised specimens weeks after injection gave the impression of a mass in some cases. The material was also present transmurally and in some cases in the peri-intestinal adipose tissue.

Conclusions It was observed that $Orise^{TM}$ gel use elicits a foreign body-type granulomatous reaction. This potential side effect may lead to overdiagnosis of a mass/lesion and unnecessary surgical interventions. This case series and review of the literature aims to increase awareness of the changes caused by $Orise^{TM}$ gel in the gastrointestinal tract.

Keywords Endoscopic mucosal resection, Orise[™] gel, foreign body giant cell reaction, colectomy, colonoscopy

Ann Gastroenterol 2022; 35 (4): 407-413

^aDepartment of Gastroenterology, Cleveland Clinic Florida, Weston, FL, USA (Yasar Colak, Badar Hasan, Kanwarpreet Tandon, Sikandar Khan Vaibhav Wadhwa, Tolga Erim); ^bDepartment of Pathology, Cleveland Clinic Florida, Weston, FL, USA (Pablo A. Bejarano); ^cDepartment of Gastroenterology, Faculty of Medicine, Istanbul Medeniyet University, Istanbul, Turkey (Yasar Colak)

Conflict of Interest: None

Correspondence to: Badar Hasan, MD, Department of Gastroenterology, Cleveland Clinic Florida Weston, 2950 Cleveland Clinic Boulevard, Weston, FL 33331, USA, e-mail: hsn.badar@gmail.com

Received 10 January 2022; accepted 14 April 2022; published online 2 June 2022

DOI: https://doi.org/10.20524/aog.2022.0726

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms

© 2022 Hellenic Society of Gastroenterology

Introduction

Endoscopic procedures for the resection of mucosal and submucosal lesions include snare polypectomy, endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR), and endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD). EMR and ESD are minimally invasive methods. Compared to surgical approaches for superficial lesions, they have lower complication rates with a shorter recovery time, provide protection of the gastrointestinal tract's physiological and functional integrity, and are more costeffective [1]. To prevent deep tissue damage caused by thermal injury during these procedures, a submucosal fluid cushion is created by injecting into the submucosal layer of the colon wall. With the injection, a safe resection area is formed between the muscular layer and the lesion. This method not only reduces the rate of significant complications, such as bleeding and perforation, but can also help determine whether or not the lesion has deep tissue invasion [1].

In the past, normal saline has been used as a lifting agent. However, saline is absorbed rapidly by the submucosal tissue, and repeated injections are needed for the sustained and adequate lifting of the lesion. This has since been replaced by more complex colloidal-viscous solutions, such as dextrose water, hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HMC), hydroxyethyl starch (HES), hyaluronic acid (HA), succinylated gelatin (SG), fibrinogen mixture, EleviewTM (SIC-8000, Aries Pharmaceutical, La Jolla, Calif), and OriseTM (Boston Scientific, Marlborough, MA, USA). Among these solutions, HES, EleviewTM and OriseTM have been approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Although EleviewTM and OriseTM have only recently become available, because of their accessibility and the ability to provide excellent submucosal lift they are widely used for EMR procedures [2].

In 2019, our institution started to use OriseTM gel in EMR and ESD procedures for submucosal lifting. Histological examination of the specimens in which OriseTM gel has been used has received little to no attention in the clinical gastrointestinal literature. This article presents the histological findings from the resected colon specimens in 7 unique patients, with the potential clinical implications, and a review of the current literature relating to the use of OriseTM gel as a submucosal lifting agent for polyp resection.

Patients and methods

Orise[™] gel was used as a lifting agent at our institution between January 1, 2018, and July 1, 2020. Institutional review board approval was obtained (FLA 21-004). A prefilled, predyed 10 mL syringe kit with a delivery system (23 gauge interject needle catheter) was used for injection in all cases. Patient demographics, details of the endoscopic procedure, including the type of lifting agent, and follow-up surgical intervention/ resection, if performed, were extracted from the electronic medical records. Patients with incomplete information and in whom Orise[™] gel was not used as a lifting agent were excluded from the study.

Large intestine specimens in which the material characteristics of Orise^{TM} gel were identified, were retrieved and reviewed. The specimens were fixed in buffered formalin, paraffin-embedded, and 4-µm thick tissue sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E). The biopsy tissues of EMR specimens when the Orise^{TM} gel was initially injected (time zero) were also retrieved and examined for this study. The histological appearance of Orise^{TM} in its native state was also obtained by using 20 mL of it wrapped in lens paper, fixed in formalin, paraffin-embedded and placed on H&E-stained slides. In addition, an aliquot was left to dry overnight at room temperature, exposed to air to acquire a more solid consistency.

For the literature search, relevant studies were included by searching the Medline and Cochrane database of clinical trials from January 2018 to December 2020. The database was searched using the following keywords or combinations: endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR), Orise[™], injectable lifting agent, colon polyp. The review included all English language abstracts, manuscripts, and study designs. Two investigators independently reviewed the titles and abstracts for possible inclusion in the study.

Results

A total of 12 patients underwent EMR of colon lesions with $Orise^{TM}$ gel as a lifting agent during the study period (Fig. 1). Of these, 7 patients (58.34%) underwent surgical resection (6: partial colectomy; 1: sigmoidectomy). There were 5 female and 2 male patients between the ages of 52 and 72 years. The demographics and clinicopathological features of the study population are summarized in Table 1. The remaining 5 patients in whom $Orise^{TM}$ gel was used as a lifting agent during colonoscopic EMR with no subsequent surgery, did not demonstrate residual $Orise^{TM}$ gel or typical inflammatory changes on the index histological specimen. Follow-up colonoscopy also did not show any suspicious submucosal mass or nodular findings in these patients.

We analyzed a total of 14 specimens from the 7 patients who underwent surgical resection. The majority of the lesions were identified as Is (Sessile), and lesion size ranged from 12-40 mm. The most common pathological lesion was tubular adenoma, followed by tubulovillous adenoma. Two patients were found to have invasive adenocarcinoma. The indications for surgical resection were as follows: 3 patients had inadequate lifting during EMR; 2 patients were found to have a firm mass with high suspicion of malignancy at the site of a previous EMR during follow-up colonoscopy 6 months later; and 2 patients had well-differentiated adenocarcinoma on the EMR specimen. The interval from the endoscopic injection of OriseTM gel to surgical resection ranged from 13-198 days. All specimens from partial colectomies contained the injected material. The gross and histological examination revealed that the material was not limited to the submucosa. In one of the specimens, Orise[™] gel involved the mucosa (lamina propria) and muscularis mucosa. In 5 of the 7 cases, the muscularis propria was also infiltrated by the material. Moreover, in 2 specimens, the material penetrated the muscularis propria entirely and extended into the periintestinal adipose tissue and the serosa (Fig. 2 A, B, patient #3).

Interestingly, in 2 cases, the material created a masslike effect (Fig. 3 A,B and 4 A,B) visualized on follow-up colonoscopy, but no residual adenocarcinoma or highgrade dysplasia was found on the resected surgical specimen



Figure 1 (A) A 40-mm carpeting polyp was found in the cecum. (B) Approximately 18 mL of OriseTM gel was injected submucosally to raise the polyp and create a cushion for the resection. The polyp was successfully resected in a piecemeal fashion using hot snare and hot biopsy forceps

| | - | | - | | | | |
|---------|----------|--|--------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Patient | Age/Sex | Indication/endoscopic appearance and location | Initial histological diagnosis | Procedure and finding | Location of the Orise [™] material | Interval from injection to excision (days) | Histological appearance of Orise™ |
| 1 | 70 y/o F | 30 mm polypoid lesion central depression (Paris classification IIa+c) Ascending colon | TVA | Partial Right colectomy TVA | Mucosa, submucosa | 49 | Abundant GCs, small nodules of Orise |
| 2 | 67 y/o F | 30 mm sessile granular lateral spreading lesion (Paris classification Is) Cecum | TVA | Right hemicolectomy TVA | Submucosa, muscularis propria | 198 | Palisading histiocytes around Orise, many GCs, histiocytes, lymphocytes |
| 3 | 59 y/o M | 40 mm sessile polyp ((Paris classification IIa) Ascending colon | TVA | Right hemicolectomy TVA | Submucosa, <i>muscularis</i> <i>propria</i> , serosa, adipose tissue | 13 | Infiltrative pattern, paucicellular reaction, bluish mucoid-like, GCs in subserosa |
| 4 | 52 y/o M | 20 mm sessile polyp (Paris classification Is) Sigmoid colon | Invasive carcinoma in a TA | Sigmoid resection No residual carcinoma | Submucosa, muscularis propria | 46 | Abundant GCs |
| 5* | 65 y/o F | 40 mm carpet-like sessile polyp (Paris classification Is) Cecum | Invasive carcinoma | Right hemicolectomy No residual carcinoma Re-exploration* | Submucosa, <i>muscularis</i> <i>propria</i> , adipose tissue, serosa | 24 36* | Abundant GCs, neovascularization, lymphocytes in fat and subserosa |
| 6 | 72 y/o F | 12 mm lesion (Paris classification IIc) Descending colon | TA with high-grade dysplasia | Transverse descending partial colectomy No residual lesion | Submucosa | 93 | GCs, small nodules of Orise, fibroblasts |
| 7 | 59 y/o F | 40 mm polyp Ascending colon | TA with high-grade dysplasia | Right hemicolectomy | Submucosa, <i>muscularis</i> propria | 140 | Abundant GCs, infiltrative pattern. |

Table 1 Summary of clinical and histological features of patients included in the study

GC, giant cell; TA, tubular adenoma; TVA, tubulovillous adenoma



Figure 2 (A) OriseTM gel penetrates the *muscularis propria* into the periintestinal adipose tissue (hematoxylin and eosin, $25\times$). (B) In other areas, the material reached the serosa causing serositis. (hematoxylin and eosin, $25\times$)

(patients #4 and #5). One of these patients (patient #5) was found with abundant material and reaction in the adipose tissue on the resected specimen and developed postoperative complications with clinical and imaging evidence of a partial obstruction, 2 weeks after the initial surgical resection. The patient underwent another exploration with further resection



Figure 3 Follow-up colonoscopy demonstrating post-polypectomy scar. (A) High-definition white light endoscopy; (B) narrow band imaging. There does not appear to be any residual mucosal polyp tissue; however, there is a 2-cm submucosal firm nodule suspicious for an underlying mass at the site of previous polyp resection

at the site of anastomosis. Although negative for malignancy, this additional specimen again showed $Orise^{TM}$ gel eliciting the typical giant cell reaction with associated fat necrosis and inflammation by the foreign material.

Histologically, there was variability in the character of the material, depending on the interval between the injection and the examination of the specimen. For instance, among the EMR specimens (time zero), there was only one in which the material was observed. In this sample (patient #5), the material was blue-gray with a bubbly appearance, acellular with no associated inflammatory reaction (Fig. 5). This blue-gray appearance is similar to that observed when the material from the manufacturer's container was processed for histological examination (Fig. 6). As time advances, the inflammatory response, consisting of a multinucleated giant cell reaction and proliferation of histiocytes, becomes more prominent. One example is seen in Fig. 7 (patient #2), a specimen obtained 198 days after the injection, where numerous giant cells and histiocytes proliferate along with lymphocytes, to the point that palisading granulomas are now evident as forming rosette-like formations. In another example of long-standing deposition (patient #5), the specimen obtained 36 days after the injection showed a similar granulomatous reaction. However, the OriseTM gel had lost the blue-gray or pink appearance of earlier days and was replaced by hyalinized fibrotic tissue (Fig. 8), which may indicate that the iatrogenic lesion may persist for a long time.

Discussion

In this case series, we present the histopathological findings after injecting $Orise^{TM}$ gel prior to EMR and the potential clinical implications of histologic changes due to the mucosal lifting material. The histological appearance varied depending on the amount of time between the injection and the examination. Immediately after the injection, the material appears basophilic (bluish), with no inflammatory infiltrates seen—in essence, similar to what is observed when the native non-injected material is examined under the microscope (Fig. 6).

In the latest version (2017) of the Clinical Guidelines of the European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, it is recommended to use products that would provide a sustained submucosal cushion compared to normal saline, and are viscous and proven to be safe in EMR procedures: for example, succinylated gelatin, hydroxyethyl starch or glycerol [3]. However, it is theoretically possible for tissue reaction and allergic side effects to occur against these lifting agent compounds. While this reaction mechanism in our cases is not entirely known, the reason may be related to the synthetic polymer, fat compounds or dyes within their content, and a reaction that forms as a result of the interactions between these compounds. While no toxicity or antigen-antibody reaction to HA has been reported in humans, the potential of contributing to the reproduction of the residual tumor cells in the resection area poses a disadvantage [4]. HMC is one of the agents used for this purpose, while this agent is also likely to potentially cause an antigen-antibody reaction [5]. To date, no significant side-effect has been reported regarding SG, whereas there is a potential for developing an allergic reaction against bovine proteins. In EMR, to delineate the lateral and deep borders of the lesion and identify deep tissue damage, the addition of biologically inert substances into lifting agents is frequently preferred, and this is recommended by the same guidelines [3]. Regarding the use of OriseTM gel, it is an inert



Figure 4 (A) The wall of the intestine is markedly thickened due to the penetration by $Orise^{TM}$ gel. (B) In another example, a nodule/mass occupies the intestine wall with extension into the adipose tissue



Figure 5 Example of "time zero" in the only case where $Orise^{TM}$ was observed histologically on the endoscopic mucosal resection specimen (hematoxylin and eosin, 200×)



Figure 6 OriseTM gel (magnification 100×)

dye that theoretically has the potential to cause foreign body reactions.

OriseTM gel is a product that received the approval of the FDA in 2018 and has been widely used as a submucosal lifting agent for EMR and ESD procedures [6]. However, it



Figure 7 The reaction in the patient with the longest follow up (198 days) showed palisading of histiocytes and giant cells in a rosette-like formation (hematoxylin and eosin, $200\times$)



Figure 8 The patient who had a partial obstruction requiring an additional exploration and re-excision also showed fat necrosis, chronic inflammation, and giant cells surrounding hyalinized Orise[™] material

was observed in our center that OriseTM gel caused a set of histopathological changes in the endoscopic and surgical specimens. In our patient cohort, it was observed that this product could remain without resorption as an amorphous acellular material. While this material showed a pale basophilic staining pattern with no inflammation on H&E staining in the early period, it gained an eosinophilic staining pattern in the late period, with multinucleated giant cell reaction. In additional examinations, as opposed to tumor-associated mucin and amyloid accumulation, the specimens were negative for Alcian blue, Periodic acid–Schiff (PAS), PAS–diastase (PAS-D) and Congo Red, and did not have the classic green birefringence.

The foreign body-related granulomatous reaction is typically characterized by a histiocytic reaction accompanying

multinucleated giant cells around the foreign material. This reaction pattern accompanying the acellular amorphous material was observed in our cohort. While granulomatous reactions have a benign nature, in tissues with thin walls, especially the intestinal structures, they can cause significant complications, such as ulceration, bleeding and even perforation [7-10]. In addition, endoscopic findings may be confused with those of inflammatory bowel diseases and other tumor-like lesions in clinical practice, leading to potential further workup, including surgical intervention. We observed that the OriseTM gel material was present beyond the *muscularis propria* into the surrounding adipose tissue of a resected segment of the intestine. In some cases, an indurated crater was observed mimicking a tumor.

Recent publications have reported similar side-effects (Table 2). In the 3 case examples presented by Cypher et al, it was observed that, after EMR, OriseTM gel remnants led to a histopathologically mucin-like accumulation, but this was eliminated by PAS, PAS-D, and cytokeratin negative staining [11]. In a case series containing 4 cases published by Westbrook et al, immediately after OriseTM gel injection, a pale, blue-grey, mucin-like appearance was observed on H&E staining, but this accumulation was mucicarmine-positive and PAS, PAS-D, trichrome, and Alcian blue-negative [12]. This study also showed that this accumulation had a different character approximately 2 months after Orise[™] gel use. It had a hard, homogenous, and eosinophilic character, and there was a granulomatous lesion accompanied by robust, foreign bodytype giant cell reaction with this accumulation. It showed a faint blue pattern with trichrome and amyloid staining for Congo Red was negative. Another case series by Pezhouh et al, including 7 cases where OriseTM gel was used, reported findings similar to those of Westbrook et al, with different staining patterns in the early and late periods [13]. In the early period right after injection, the lifting agent had a mild basophilic, amorphous and bubbly staining pattern, while after approximately 3 months, an accumulation with a prominent hyalinized, pink-amorphous ribbons and globules pattern continued, accompanied by a foreign body giant cell reaction and fibrosis [12]. In the largest published histologic series of OriseTM gel to date by Olivas et al, a total of 58 patient specimens (51 EMR and 7 ESD) were identified, of which 88% showed amorphous, pale blue-gray material in the submucosa, which was mucicarmine and PAS negative for mucin. After surgical resection, the specimens were found to have extensive deposition of dense, eosinophilic material with associated multinucleated giant cells [14]. All these findings agreed with those obtained in our study.

However, as opposed to our cohort, all the previous studies showed only submucosal involvement, whereas we observed transmural involvement of $Orise^{TM}$ gel, with some samples even showing extraintestinal extension. Our series indicates that $Orise^{TM}$ gel may create a nodule or mass-like effect, as seen in Fig. 3A, which has not been previously reported. The material can go into the serosa of the intestine and beyond the intestinal wall into the adipose tissue (patient #5). The same patient developed small bowel obstruction with a persistent transition zone at the site of the ileocolonic anastomosis, necessitating re-exploration 2 weeks later and resection of the anastomotic

Table 2 Summary of previously published literature on the use of OriseTM and histological findings

| Study [ref.] | Year of Publication | Study Design | Number of patients | Study findings |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------|---|
| Olivas et al [14] | 2020 | Case series | 58 | Extensive deposition of eosinophilic material with associated multinucleated giant cells. Mucicarmine and PAS negative |
| Castrodad-Rodríguez et al [15] | 2020 | Case report | 3 | Inflammatory giant cell reaction with hyalinized eosinophilic deposits. Mucicarmine negative. PAS and PAS-D were positive |
| Sun [16] | 2020 | Abstract | 2 | Granulomatous giant cell reaction in the submucosa and <i>muscularis propria</i> |
| Esnakula [17] | 2020 | Case report | 1 | Extensive deposition of an amorphous pale acellular substance resembling mucin in the submucosa. No inflammatory response present. Mucicarmine negative |
| Cypher et al [11] | 2019 | Case report | 3 | Submucosal mucin-like accumulation |
| Westbrook et al [12] | 2019 | Case series | 4 | Formation of pale, blue-grey, mucin-like substance in the submucosa. Mucicarmine positive. PAS, PAS-D negative. Interval resection showed a foreign body-type giant cell reaction |
| Pezhouh et al [13] | 2020 | Case series | 7 | Early basophilic, amorphous reaction with a late accumulation of hyalinized pink substance. Associated inflammatory giant cell reaction |

PAS, periodic acid-Schiff, PAS-D, PAS-diastase

site. The resected specimen demonstrated serosal fibrosis and a focal foreign body reaction with residual $Orise^{TM}$ gel. It is conceivable that the $Orise^{TM}$ gel was not entirely removed during the first partial colectomy and potentially contributed to the small bowel obstruction in the postoperative period.

There are several limitations to our study. Firstly, the study was retrospective in nature and had a relatively small population. However, all patients included in the study had surgical specimens confirming the presence of OriseTM gel in the tissues, along with characteristic histological changes. Secondly, some patients might have presented to other hospitals for follow up after undergoing the initial EMR, but we only included patients who had follow-up surveillance procedures at our center. Thirdly, the technique of injection and amount of OriseTM gel used could have influenced the extent of changes seen in the histological specimen. Although the intent of the lifting agent is to be injected into the submucosal plane, it is possible the injection needle could have inadvertently penetrated deeper mural layers. However, all procedures were performed by experienced endoscopists who have performed over 500 EMRs. In addition, consistently with previous studies, our study demonstrates that the multinucleated giant cell reaction and proliferation of histiocytes become more prominent with time, possibly involving the deeper layers of tissue.

The granulomatous reaction that forms after the use of OriseTM gel appears to be a benign process: it is not clear whether it may eventually lead to potential complications. The mass-like lesions noted on follow-up colonoscopy can certainly be misinterpreted as a malignant recurrence of a mass lesion. This potential pitfall must be acknowledged in the future use of OriseTM gel. Further studies need to concentrate on the natural progression of these histologic changes and any related complications.

Summary Box

What is already known:

- Endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR) and endoscopic submucosal dissection are minimally invasive procedures to remove lesions from the gastrointestinal wall
- Multiple viscous fluids are available to lift the lesion during endoscopic resection, which assists in complete resection with fewer adverse events
- Orise[™] gel is approved by the FDA as a lifting agent for EMR, owing to its excellent sustainable submucosal lift during endoscopic resection of the lesion; however, there are concerns over the inflammatory changes after Orise[™] gel use

What the new findings are:

- Characteristic histopathological changes post-Orise[™] gel include foreign body granulomatous reaction and histiocyte proliferation, often demonstrating transmural extension
- Endoscopic findings after Orise[™] gel can be confused with inflammatory bowel disease or tumor-like lesions in clinical practice
- The mass-like lesions can be misinterpreted as a malignant recurrence of a lesion, leading to unnecessary surgical interventions

References

- Burgess NG, Bourke MJ. Endoscopic resection of colorectal lesions: the narrowing divide between East and West. *Dig Endosc* 2016;28:296-305.
- Castro R, Libânio D, Pita I, Dinis-Ribeiro M. Solutions for submucosal injection: what to choose and how to do it. *World J Gastroenterol* 2019;25:777-788.
- Ferlitsch M, Moss A, Hassan C, et al. Colorectal polypectomy and endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR): European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Clinical Guideline. *Endoscopy* 2017;49:270-297.
- Matsui Y, Inomata M, Izumi K, Sonoda K, Shiraishi N, Kitano S. Hyaluronic acid stimulates tumor-cell proliferation at wound sites. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2004;60:539-543.
- Fujishiro M, Yahagi N, Kashimura K, et al. Comparison of various submucosal injection solutions for maintaining mucosal elevation during endoscopic mucosal resection. *Endoscopy* 2004;36:579-583.
- US Food & Drug Administration. [No title] Available from: https://fda.report/PMN/K180068/18/K180068.pdf [Accessed 16 May 2022].
- Surlin V, Georgescu E, Comănescu V, Mogoantă SS, Georgescu I. Ileal iterative spontaneous perforation from foreign body granuloma: problems of histopathologic diagnosis. *Rom J Morphol Embryol* 2009;50:749-752.
- 8. Akbulut S. Multiple ileal perforations in a patient with Wegener's granulomatosis: a case report and literature review. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2012;**16**:857-862.

- 9. Iwabu J, Namikawa T, Kitagawa H, Kanagawa T, Nakashima J, Hanazaki K. Sigmoid colon perforation in the patient with granulomatosis with polyangiitis. *Surg Case Rep* 2019;5:87.
- Khangura SK, Kamal N, Ho N, et al. Gastrointestinal features of chronic granulomatous disease found during endoscopy. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2016;14:395-402.
- Cypher L, Sun S, Forster E, Hoffman B, Lewin D. Submucosal lifting agent ORISE gel remnants histopathologically mimic mucin and malignancy: a case series. *Am J Clin Pathol* 2019;**152**(Suppl 1):S73.
- Westbrook LM, Henn PA, Cornish TC. Lifting agent granuloma: histologic findings following use of ORISE gel for endoscopic resections in the gastrointestinal tract. *Am J Clin Pathol* 2020;**153**:630-638.
- Pezhouh MK, Burgart LJ, Chiu K, et al. Characterization of novel injectable lifting agents used in colonic polyp removal: an emerging amyloid mimic. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2020;44:793-798.
- Olivas AD, Setia N, Weber CR, et al. Histologic changes caused by injection of a novel submucosal lifting agent for endoscopic resection in GI lesions. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2021;93:470-476.
- Castrodad-Rodríguez CA, Panarelli NC, Gersten AJ, Liu Q, Feely M, Jabbour TE. Features of endoscopic procedure site reaction associated with a recently approved submucosal lifting agent. *Mod Pathol* 2020;33:1581-1588.
- Sun BL. Submucosal lifting agent ORISE gel causes extensive foreign body granuloma post endoscopic resection. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 2021;36:419-422.
- Esnakula AK, Liu X, Draganov PV, Yang D. ORISE gel: a submucosal lifting agent mimics mucin in endoscopic resection specimen. ACG Case Rep J 2020;7:e00403.