Eosinophilic gastrointestinal disorder: is it what it seems to be?

Stefania Chetcuti Zammit^a, Monique Cachia^b, Karen Sapiano^b, Julia Gauci^b, Stephen Montefort^c, Pierre Ellul^a

Mater Dei Hospital, Malta

Abstrac	t
---------	---

Background Eosinophilic gastroenteropathy is an uncommon condition whose causes can be numerous and non-specific. The aim of the study was to characterize the presence of gastrointestinal disorders in the adult Maltese population and assess the degree of association with atopic diseases.

Methods Adult patients with gastrointestinal eosinophilia in the gastrointestinal tract on histology were identified and their clinical case notes were reviewed. Patients were interviewed and asked questions regarding asthma, allergic rhinitis, and eczema.

Results Sixty-six patients (39 female) were recruited. The most common clinical symptoms were diarrhea (42.4%) and abdominal pain (33.3%). The sites involved were stomach (10.6%), colon (56.1%), small bowel (10.6%), small bowel and colon (18.2%), esophagus (1.5%), and esophagus and colon (1.5%). Forty percent had persistent lower gastrointestinal symptoms and a repeat ileocolonoscopy was performed within 12 months. These patients were diagnosed with ulcerative colitis (n=10; 47.6%), Crohn's disease (n=6; 28.6%), indeterminate colitis (n=1; 4.8%) or microscopic colitis (n=4; 19%). Allergic rhinitis was present in 39.4% of the study group, eczema in 26.1%, and asthma in 19.7%. These findings were compared with local data for atopic conditions and the study group was found to have a significantly higher prevalence of allergic rhinitis (P=0.002), but not of asthma (P=0.62) or eczema (P=0.19).

Conclusions A high proportion of patients with eosinophilic gastrointestinal infiltration were subsequently diagnosed with inflammatory bowel disease. Patients persistently symptomatic or who do not respond to treatment should be reassessed to exclude inflammatory bowel disease, given its high prevalence in this group of patients.

Keywords Eosinophilic esophagitis, eosinophilic gastrointestinal disorders, inflammatory bowel disease, ulcerative colitis, Crohn's disease

Ann Gastroenterol 2018; 31 (4): 474-479

Introduction

Eosinophilic esophagitis and gastroenteritis are chronic inflammatory conditions that occur in response to allergens [1,2]. They are associated with several cytokines,

^aDivision of Gastroenterology, Department of Medicine (Stefania Chetcuti Zammit, Pierre Ellul); ^bDepartment of Medicine (Monique Cachia, Karen Sapiano, Julia Gauci); ^cDivision of Respiratory Medicine, Department of Medicine (Stephen Montefort), Mater Dei Hospital, Malta

Conflict of Interest: None

Correspondence to: Stefania Chetcuti Zammit, Gastroenterology Department, Mater Dei Hospital, Malta, MSD 2090, e-mail: stf_che@yahoo.com

Received 11 December 2017; accepted 13 March 2018; published online 27 April 2018

DOI: https://doi.org/10.20524/aog.2018.0263

© 2018 Hellenic Society of Gastroenterology

including interleukin (IL)-5 and IL-15 [3,4]. Eotoxin-3 and IL-13 are overexpressed in patients with eosinophilic esophagitis [5]. IL-4 and IL-3 together stimulate the production of exotoxin-3 [6]. In healthy adults, the number of eosinophils (Eo) in the intestinal wall increases from the esophagus to the right colon and decreases again in the left colon [7]. Eosinophilic gastrointestinal enteropathy (EGEs) and the effects of allergies on the gastrointestinal tract were described as early as 1937 in papers by Kaijser, Hansen, Simonsen, Afendoulis and Gulzow [8-10].

Eosinophilic esophagitis is characterized by eosinophilic infiltration (\geq 15 Eo/high power field [HPF]) and secondary fibrosis [11]. No such consensus exists for eosinophilic colitis. A few studies defined eosinophilic gastroenteritis as an Eo density of \geq 30 Eo/HPF [12,13]. However, the most accepted definition of EGE in the rest of the gastrointestinal tract, especially the colon, is an Eo count that exceeds 20 Eo/HPF [14-16].

Epidemiological studies show eosinophilic esophagitis to have a prevalence of 25.9 to 50 patients per 100,000

EGEs can be further subclassified according to the degree of infiltration of Eo in the intestinal wall. Mucosal involvement is the most common subtype. Patients in this subgroup tend to have non-specific gastrointestinal symptoms of nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain and diarrhea [13,25]. Involvement of the muscular layer often leads to symptoms of intestinal obstruction [26] or rarely intussusception [27]. Serosal involvement is uncommon. Patients can present with ascites and intestinal obstruction [28].

In a study by Mansoor *et al*, patients with EGEs were more likely to have been diagnosed with gastrointestinal conditions and allergic disorders [29]. This association might be due to common pathways causing atopic diseases and EGEs. The aim of our study was to characterize the presence of eosinophilic esophagitis and gastrointestinal disorders in the adult Maltese population and assess the degree of association with atopic diseases.

Patients and methods

Patients who had undergone upper gastrointestinal or lower gastrointestinal endoscopy between January 2014 and December 2015 and who had eosinophilic infiltration of the gastrointestinal tract on histology were retrospectively identified through the histopathology database. Inclusion criteria were: 1) patients >18 years of age; 2) the presence of Eo in esophageal, gastric, small bowel and colonic biopsies (defined as eosinophilic infiltration \geq 15 Eo/HPF for esophageal involvement and >20 Eo/HPF for the rest of the gastrointestinal tract [14]); and (3) the absence on histological samples of results suggesting another cause of eosinophilia.

Exclusion criteria were: 1) age under 18 years; 2) current and recent infective gastroenteritis (recent being defined as <3 months); 3) previous diagnosis of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) and/or celiac disease; 4) drug-induced pathologies; 5) graft-versus-host disease; 6) history of connective tissue disorders and/or vasculitis; 7) previous radiotherapy to the abdomen; 8) primary hypereosinophilic syndrome; and 9) myeloproliferative neoplasms.

Patients were then interviewed using a questionnaire to determine their gastrointestinal symptoms at the time of endoscopy and to check if they had symptoms of asthma, allergic rhinitis and/or eczema. All patients had a complete blood count with measurement of erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), C-reactive protein (CRP), and anti-tissue transglutaminase antibodies. Patients who presented with loose stools had stool samples checked for culture, ova, cysts and parasites, and *Clostridium difficile*. All patients were followed up for a minimum of 12 months post endoscopy.

This study was approved by the Ethics committee of the University of Malta. Informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Statistical analysis

Data was analyzed using SPSS version 21. The main statistical tests used were the Student's t-test and the Mann-Whitney U test.

Results

Sixty-six patients (39 female; 59.1%) were recruited. The mean patient age was 48.4 ± 18.5 years (Table 1). The most common clinical symptoms that necessitated an endoscopic procedure were diarrhea (42.4%) and abdominal pain (33.3%). Fig. 1 presents the patients' symptoms. Thirteen patients underwent a gastroscopy (19.7%). Fifty-three patients (80.3%) had a colonoscopy because of their preceding symptoms. Only 3 (4.5%) of these patients had bidirectional endoscopy (Table 1).

Table 2 shows the various parts of the gastrointestinal tract with eosinophilic infiltration. The lower gastrointestinal tract was affected as follows: terminal ileum (n=7; 12%); terminal ileum and colon (n=9; 15.5%); terminal ileum and rectum (n=2; 3.4%); terminal ileum and sigmoid colon (n=1; 1.7%); colon (n=20; 34.5%); hepatic flexure (n=1; 1.7%); transverse and sigmoid colon (n=1; 1.7%); transverse colon (n=3; 5.2%); cecum and rectum (n=2; 3.4%); ascending and transverse colon (n=4; 6.9%); ascending colon (n=4; 6.9%); rectum



Figure 1 Presenting symptoms vs. percentage of patients

Table 1 Demographic details of patients in this study

Demographics	Number	Percentage
Patients	66	
Female	39	59.1
Mean age (years ± SD)	48.4±18.5	
Gastroscopies	13	19.7
Colonoscopies	53	80.3

SD, standard deviation

(n=2; 3.4%); and cecum (n=2; 3.4%). None of the patients with esophageal eosinophilic infiltration had macroscopic mucosal abnormalities. The patients with stomach eosinophilic infiltration (n=7; 10.6%) had antral erythema at endoscopy. Seven patients (n=51; 13.7%) with colonic eosinophilia had patchy areas of erythema. None of the patients with small bowel involvement had macroscopic endoscopic findings.

The patients with eosinophilic esophageal infiltration were administered inhaled budesonide. Previously they had only used inhaled bronchodilators. Since patients with gastric eosinophilia exhibited erythema at endoscopy, they were prescribed a proton pump inhibitor (PPI) for 6-8 weeks. Their symptoms resolved and did not recur after PPI cessation. Twenty-one patients (n=58; 36.2%) with colonic/terminal ileum eosinophilia were asymptomatic at follow up and did not undergo a repeat colonoscopy. Nine patients (n=58; 15.5%) had a history of acute gastroenteritis more than 3 months before the endoscopy. Symptoms resolved spontaneously. Seven patients (n=58; 12.1%) were lost to follow up.

More than a third of the patients (39.6%) had persistent lower gastrointestinal symptoms and a repeat ileocolonoscopy was performed within 12 months. These patients were all diagnosed with ileocolonic pathologies: ulcerative colitis (UC) (n=10; 47.6%), Crohn's disease (CD) (n=6; 28.6%), indeterminate colitis (n=1; 4.8%) or microscopic colitis (n=4; 19%). Table 3 demonstrates the phenotypic features of the patients with IBD. One patient with ileal CD also had

Table 2 Site of eosinophilic infiltration

Site	Number	%
Stomach	7	10.6%
Colon	37	56.1%
Small bowel	7	10.6%
Small bowel & colon	12	18.2%
Esophagus	1	1.5%
Esophagus & colon	2	3.0%

 Table 3 Characteristic features of patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD)

Type of IBD	Number of patients	% of patients	
Ulcerative colitis			
Left-sided colitis	3	14.2	
Pancolitis	7	36.8	
Crohn's disease			
Colonic Crohn's	2	9.52	
Ileal Crohn's	2	9.52	
Ileocolonic Crohn's	2	9.52	
Indeterminate colitis	1	4.8	
Microscopic colitis	4	19	

stricturing disease. Nine patients had persistent eosinophilia on repeat histology. All the patients diagnosed with IBD had histological features on biopsy consistent with UC or CD.

The most common blood abnormalities were elevated values of ESR (33.3%) and CRP (100%). The peripheral eosinophil count was only raised in 6.25% of cases. Table 4 shows the blood results. The CRP levels measured prior to the endoscopic procedures were higher in those patients who were later diagnosed with IBD than in the rest of the cohort (10.1 vs. 8.61 mg/L; P=0.029), whereas there was no significant difference in ESR.

Patients were asked about a previous diagnosis of atopic conditions. Allergic rhinitis was reported in 39.4% of patients, eczema in 26.1% and asthma in 19.7% of the study group. These findings were compared with local data for atopic conditions [30] and the study group was found to have a significantly higher prevalence of allergic rhinitis (P=0.002), but not of asthma (P=0.62) or eczema (P=0.19) (Table 5).

With regard to family history (first-degree relatives), 4.5% had a positive family history of IBD. There was no correlation between levels of Eo on histology or peripheral eosinophil count and organ involvement. There were no apparent dietary restrictions and all patients had negative celiac serology.

Discussion

In healthy people, Eo reside in the *lamina propria* of the stomach and intestine [31]. On stimulation, Eo can release eosinophil cationic protein [32], eosinophil protein

Table 4 Blood tests in the cohort of patients with eosinophilic gastrointestinal disorders

Blood test	Mean ± SD	Normal range
White cell count	$7.37 \pm 2.71 \times 10^9 / L$	$4.3-11.4 \times 10^{9}/L$
Hemoglobin	13.2±1.98 g/dL	12-15.5 g/dL
Platelets	$258.0\pm66.9 \times 10^9 / L$	$142\text{-}349\times10^9\text{/L}$
ESR	15.0±19.6 mm/h	10-14 mm/h
CRP	9.12±17.2 mg/L	0-5 mg/L
Peripheral eosinophilic count	$0.353 \pm 1.08 \times 10^9$ /L	0.00-0.6 × 10 ⁹ /L

ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP, C-reactive protein; SD, standard deviation

Table 5 Comparison of atopic conditions in this study to data fromthe 2009 local study [30]

Condition	This study		2009 study		P-value
	% yes	% no	% yes	% no	
Allergic rhinitis	41.3%	58.7%	22.20%	77.8%	0.002
Asthma	17.4%	82.6%	14.80%	85.2%	0.623
Eczema	26.1%	82.6%	11.20%	88.8%	0.186

X [33], eosinophil peroxidase and several proinflammatory cytokines [34-36]. These cytokines attract more inflammatory cells, such as neutrophils, to the intestinal lining [37]. They can also act as antigen-presenting cells, which in turn stimulate T-cell proliferation and activation [38]. This mechanism occurs in patients with active IBD [39-41], atopic conditions such as asthma [42] and EGEs [43]. Eo can be proinflammatory and therefore play a role in tissue destruction in IBD. Their numbers are greatly increased in the intestinal lining of patients with active disease [44-47].

However, they can also play a role in tissue repair. In studies by Lampinen *et al*, the activity of Eo was higher in the inactive than in the active phase of UC and CD [48,49]. Transforming growth factor- β 1 and IL-13 derived from Eo promote the transformation of fibroblasts to myofibroblasts, and stimulate the expression of tenascin and procollagen I by these cells in atopy and asthma [50,51]. This is essential for tissue repair.

There are two case series in the literature that report patients initially diagnosed with eosinophilic colitis who did not respond to treatment (elimination diet, anti-histamines, mast cell stabilizers, antibiotics) and who were subsequently histologically diagnosed as having UC [52] or CD [53]. EGEs and IBD might be two distinct disorders with different diagnostic criteria but common clinical manifestations and overlapping pathogenesis. It is therefore not surprising that 25.8% of our patients initially diagnosed with EGEs were later diagnosed with IBD. This aspect raises the question of whether patients with EGEs should undergo repeat endoscopy when they fail to respond to treatment. In a study by Bischoff et al, it was shown that EGEs are characterized by pronounced intestinal Eo accumulation and activation, whereas in IBD Eo are activated but their number is not or only slightly elevated compared to controls [54].

Our study highlights the fact that the presence of Eo and persistent lower gastrointestinal symptoms requires further monitoring and investigation, as in our cohort all such patients were later diagnosed with a type of colitis. Unlike in other studies with gastrointestinal eosinophilia, our cohort had a slight female predominance, with the mean age at diagnosis being the 5th decade of life.

Asthma has been reported in 32-39% of patients with eosinophilic esophagitis. [55,56]. It is known to coexist in patients with EGEs at similar rates [57-59]. In our cohort, patients with eosinophilia were more likely than the general population to have allergic rhinitis. However, there was no statistical significance when asthma and eczema were compared. Although such associations have been reported, our study group was small and further studies are warranted to evaluate this possible association. Allergic rhinitis usually precedes symptoms of eosinophilic esophagitis, suggesting that the initial sensitization might take place in the airways and that eosinophilic esophagitis is an extension of a preexisting IgEmediated allergic syndrome [59].

Our study is one of the few available conducted on patients with initial gastrointestinal eosinophilia. This enabled us to follow up patients to assess symptom persistence or resolution. In fact, the diagnosis of IBD on repeat endoscopy is an aspect that has never been studied. Despite the fact that this study only included 66 patients, considering the lack of available literature it still highlights an important topic.

Limitations of this study include the absence of a control group without EGEs, and the fact that fecal calprotectin was not assessed and might have been higher in patients eventually diagnosed with IBD. However, we initially decided not to include it as this protein is not released by Eo and is therefore not useful in detecting the presence of EGEs. It is neutrophildependent and its levels might have been more prominent in patients eventually diagnosed with IBD.

In conclusion, we demonstrated that EGEs can precede the occurrence of IBD. Patients persistently symptomatic or who do not respond to treatment should be reassessed to exclude IBD, given its high prevalence in this group of patients.

Summary Box

What is already known:

- Eosinophilic esophagitis and gastroenteritis are chronic inflammatory conditions that can occur in response to allergens
- Eosinophilic esophagitis is defined as ≥15 eosinophils (Eo)/high power field (HPF), whereas eosinophilic gastrointestinal disorder in the rest of the gastrointestinal tract is usually defined as an eosinophilic count that exceeds 20 Eo/HPF
- Patients can present with dysphagia and a variety of non-specific gastrointestinal symptoms, including nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain, and diarrhea

What the new findings are:

- Asthma, allergic rhinitis and eczema can coexist in patients with eosinophilic gastrointestinal disorders
- Inflammatory bowel disease can be preceded by eosinophilic gastrointestinal disorders
- Patients with persistent symptoms and no explanation for the raised Eo on histology in the gastrointestinal tract should undergo repeat endoscopy

References

- Lucendo AJ, Molina-Infante J, Arias Á, et al. Guidelines on eosinophilic esophagitis: evidence-based statements and recommendations for diagnosis and management in children and adults. United European Gastroenterol J 2017;5:335-358.
- Huang FC, Ko SF, Huang SC, Lee SY. Eosinophilic gastroenteritis with perforation mimicking intussusception. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2001;33:613-615.
- 3. Kinoshita Y, Furuta K, Ishimura N, Ishihara S. Elevated plasma cytokines in Japanese patients with eosinophilic esophagitis and

gastroenteritis. Digestion 2012;86:238-243.

- Straumann A, Simon HU. The physiological and pathophysiological roles of eosinophils in the gastrointestinal tract. *Allergy* 2004;59:15-25.
- Sato H, Nakajima N, Takahashi K, et al. Proposed criteria to differentiate heterogeneous eosinophilic gastrointestinal disorders of the esophagus, including eosinophilic esophageal myositis. *World J Gastroenterol* 2017;23:2414-2423.
- 6. Blanchard C. Molecular pathogenesis of eosinophilic esophagitis. *Curr Opin Gastroenterol* 2015;**3**:321-327.
- Matsushita T, Maruyama R, Ishikawa N, et al. The number and distribution of eosinophils in the adult human gastrointestinal tract: a study and comparison of racial and environmental factors. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2015;**39**:521-527.
- Kaijser R. Allergic diseases of the gut from the point of view of the surgeon. Arch Klin Chir 1937;188:36-64.
- 9. Hansen K, Simonsen M. Rontgenologische beobachtung und darstellung der allergischen gastritis und des allergischen pylorospasmus. *Rontgenpraxis* 1937;**9**:145-151.
- Afendoulis, T. C. Ober einen fall von gastritis allergica. Dtsch med Wschr 1943;69:398.
- 11. Liacouras CA, Furuta GT, Hirano I, et al. Eosinophilic esophagitis: updated consensus recommendations for children and adults. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2011;**128**:3-20.
- Lwin T, Melton SD, Genta RM. Eosinophilic gastritis: histopathological characterization and quantification of the normal gastric eosinophil content. *Mod Pathol* 2011;24:556-563.
- Wong GW, Lim KH, Wan WK, Low SC, Kong SC. Eosinophilic gastroenteritis: Clinical profiles and treatment outcomes, a retrospective study of 18 adult patients in a Singapore tertiary hospital. *Med J Malaysia* 2015;70:232-237.
- Uppal V, Kreiger P, Kutsch E. Eosinophilic gastroenteritis: a comprehensive review. Clin Rev Allergy Immunol 2016;50:175-188.
- Chen MJ, Chu CH, Lin SC, Shih SC, Wang TE. Eosinophilic gastroenteritis: clinical experience with 15 patients. World J Gastroenterol 2003;9:2813-2816.
- Gaertner WB, Macdonald JE, Kwaan MR, et al. Eosinophilic colitis: university of Minnesota experience and literature review. *Gastroenterol Res Pract* 2011;2011:857508.
- Mansoor E, Cooper GS. The 2010-2015 prevalence of eosinophilic esophagitis in the USA: a population-based Study. *Dig Dis Sci* 2016;61:2928-2934.
- Hruz P. Epidemiology of eosinophilic esophagitis. *Dig Dis* 2014;**32**:40-47.
- Jensen ET, Martin CF, Kappelman MD, Dellon ES. Prevalence of eosinophilic gastritis, gastroenteritis, and colitis: estimates from a national administrative database. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2016;62:36-42.
- 20. Reed C, Woosley JT, Dellon ES. Clinical characteristics, treatment outcomes, and resource utilization in children and adults with eosinophilic gastroenteritis. *Dig Liver Dis* 2015;**47**:197-201.
- 21. Cianferoni A, Spergel JM. Eosinophilic esophagitis and gastroenteritis. *Curr Allergy Asthma Rep* 2015;15:58.
- Ally MR, Maydonovitch CL, Betteridge JD, Veerappan GR, Moawad FJ. Prevalence of eosinophilic esophagitis in a United States military health-care population. *Dis Esophagus* 2015;28:505-511.
- 23. Ito J, Fujiwara T, Kojima R, Nomura I. Racial differences in eosinophilic gastrointestinal disorders among Caucasian and Asian. *Allergol Int* 2015;**64**:253-259.
- 24. van Rhijn BD, Verheij J, Smout AJ, Bredenoord AJ. Rapidly increasing incidence of eosinophilic esophagitis in a large cohort. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2013;25:47-52.
- 25. Alhmoud T, Hanson JA, Parasher G. Eosinophilic gastroenteritis: an under diagnosed condition. *Dig Dis Sci* 2016;**61**:2585-2592.
- 26. Yun MY, Cho YU, Park IS, et al. Eosinophilic gastroenteritis presenting as small bowel obstruction: a case report and review of

Annals of Gastroenterology 31

the literature. World J Gastroenterol 2007;13:1758-1760.

- 27. Box JC, Tucker J, Watne AL, Lucas G. Eosinophilic colitis presenting as a left-sided colocolonic intussusception with secondary large bowel obstruction: an uncommon entity with a rare presentation. *Am Surg* 1997;**63**:741-743.
- 28. de Matos Brasil AA, Bezerra LN, Bruno EL, Carvalho DR, de Oliveira PL, Leite RL. Eosinophilic gastroenteritis with malabsorption, acute intestinal obstruction, ascites and pleural effusion: a case report and review of literature. *Gastroenterology Res* 2013;6:233-236.
- 29. Mansoor E, Saleh MA, Cooper GS. Prevalence of eosinophilic gastroenteritis and colitis in a population-based study, from 2012 to 2017. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2017;**15**:1733-1741.
- Montefort S, Ellul P, Montefort M, Caruana S, Agius Muscat H. Increasing prevalence of asthma, allergic rhinitis but not eczema in 5- to 8-yr-old Maltese children (ISAAC). *Pediatr Allergy Immunol* 2009;20:67-71.
- Mishra A, Hogan SP, Lee JJ, Foster PS, Rothenberg ME. Fundamental signals that regulate eosinophil homing to the gastrointestinal tract. *J Clin Invest* 1999;103:1719-1727.
- 32. Carlson M, Oberg G, Peterson C, Venge P. Releasability of human hypereosinophilic eosinophils is related to the density of the cells. *Br J Haematol* 1994;**86**:41-47.
- 33. Seton K, Håkansson L, Karawajczyk M, Venge P. The stimulusdependent release of eosinophil cationic protein and eosinophil protein x increases in apoptotic eosinophils. *Scand J Immunol* 2003;58:312-320.
- 34. Spencer LA, Melo RC, Perez SA, Bafford SP, Dvorak AM, Weller PF. Cytokine receptor-mediated trafficking of preformed IL-4 in eosinophils identifies an innate immune mechanism of cytokine secretion. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2006;**103**:3333-3338.
- Dubucquoi S, Desreumaux P, Janin A, et al. Interleukin 5 synthesis by eosinophils: association with granules and immunoglobulindependent secretion. J Exp Med 1994;179:703-708.
- 36. Lamkhioued B, Gounni AS, Aldebert D, et al. Synthesis of type 1 (IFN gamma) and type 2 (IL-4, IL-5, and IL-10) cytokines by human eosinophils. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1996;**796**:203-208.
- Wallace KL, Zheng LB, Kanazawa Y, Shih DQ. Immunopathology of inflammatory bowel disease. World J Gastroenterol 2014;20:6-21.
- Farhan RK, Vickers MA, Ghaemmaghami AM, Hall AM, Barker RN, Walsh GM. Effective antigen presentation to helper T cells by human eosinophils. *Immunology* 2016;149:413-422.
- 39. Carlson M, Raab Y, Peterson C, Hällgren R, Venge P. Increased intraluminal release of eosinophil granule proteins EPO, ECP, EPX, and cytokines in ulcerative colitis and proctitis in segmental perfusion. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1999;**94**:1876-1883.
- Saitoh O, Kojima K, Sugi K, et al. Fecal eosinophil granule-derived proteins reflect disease activity in inflammatory bowel disease. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1999;94:3513-3520.
- 41. Mir A, Minguez M, Tatay J, et al. Elevated serum eotaxin levels in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2002;**97**:1452-1457.
- 42. de Magalhães Simões S, dos Santos MA, da Silva Oliveira M, et al. Inflammatory cell mapping of the respiratory tract in fatal asthma. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2005;**35**:602-611.
- 43. Travers J, Rothenberg ME. Eosinophils in mucosal immune responses. *Mucosal Immunol* 2015;8:464-475.
- Dubucquoi S, Janin A, Klein O, et al. Activated eosinophils and interleukin-5 expression in early recurrence of Crohn's disease. *Gut* 1995;37:242-246.
- 45. Carvalho AT, Elia CC, de Souza HS, et al. Immunohistochemical study of intestinal eosinophils in inflammatory bowel disease. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2003;**36**:120-125.
- 46. Jeziorska M, Haboubi N, Schofield P, Woolley DE. Distribution and activation of eosinophils in inflammatory bowel disease using an improved immunohistochemical technique. J Pathol

2001;194:484-492.

- Wedemeyer J, Vosskuhl K. Role of gastrointestinal eosinophils in inflammatory bowel disease and intestinal tumours. *Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol* 2008;22:537-549.
- Lampinen M, Rönnblom A, Amin K, et al. Eosinophil granulocytes are activated during the remission phase of ulcerative colitis. *Gut* 2005;54:1714-1720.
- 49. Lampinen M, Backman M, Winqvist O, et al. Different regulation of eosinophil activity in Crohn's disease compared with ulcerative colitis. *J Leukoc Biol* 2008;**84**:1392-1399.
- Phipps S, Ying S, Wangoo A, Ong YE, Levi-Schaffer F, Kay AB. The relationship between allergen-induced tissue eosinophilia and markers of repair and remodeling in human atopic skin. *J Immunol* 2002;169:4604-4612.
- 51. Wenzel SE, Trudeau JB, Barnes S, et al. TGF-beta and IL-13 synergistically increase eotaxin-1 production in human airway fibroblasts. *J Immunol* 2002;**169**:4613-4619.
- 52. Uzunismail H, Hatemi I, Doğusoy G, Akin O. Dense eosinophilic infiltration of the mucosa preceding ulcerative colitis and mimicking eosinophilic colitis: report of two cases. *Turk J Gastroenterol* 2006;**17**:53-57.
- 53. Mutalib M, Blackstock S, Evans V et al. Eosinophilic gastrointestinal disease and inflammatory bowel disease in children: is it a disease

continuum? Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2015;27:20-23.

- 54. Bischoff SC, Mayer J, Nguyen QT, Stolte M, Manns MP. Immunohistological assessment of intestinal eosinophil activation in patients with eosinophilic gastroenteritis and inflammatory bowel disease. Am J Gastroenterol 1999;94:3521-3529.
- 55. Veerappan GR, Perry JL, Duncan TJ, et al. Prevalence of eosinophilic esophagitis in an adult population undergoing upper endoscopy: a prospective study. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2009;7:420-426, 426. e1-e2.
- 56. Simon D, Marti H, Heer P, Simon HU, Braathen LR, Straumann A. Eosinophilic esophagitis is frequently associated with IgEmediated allergic airway diseases. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2005;115:1090-1092.
- 57. Choi JS, Choi SJ, Lee KJ, et al. Clinical manifestations and treatment outcomes of eosinophilic gastroenteritis in children. *Pediatr Gastroenterol Hepatol Nutr* 2015;**18**:253-260.
- Zhang L, Duan L, Ding S, et al. Eosinophilic gastroenteritis: clinical manifestations and morphological characteristics, a retrospective study of 42 patients. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2011;46:1074-1080.
- Mohammad AA, Wu SZ, Ibrahim O, et al. Prevalence of atopic comorbidities in eosinophilic esophagitis: a case-control study of 449 patients. J Am Acad Dermatol 2017;76:559-560.